

1



BEFORE THE ARIZONA-CORPORATION COMMISSION

2	COMMISSIONERS
3	KRISTIN K. MAYES - Chairman 2009 MAY - 7 A II: 05
4	PAUL NEWMAN SANDRA D. KENNEDY
5	BOB STUMP BOCKET CONTROL
6	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF DOCKET NO. W-02069A-08-0406
7	SUNRISE WATER COMPANY FOR A DETERMINATION OF THE CURRENT FAIR STAFF'S NOTICE OF FILING
8	VALUE OF ITS UTILITY PROPERTY AND FOR AN INCREASE IN ITS WATER RATES
9	AND CHARGES.
10	Staff of the Arizona Corporation Commission hereby provides notice of filing of the
11	Summaries of the Testimony of Alexander I. Igwe and Jian Liu in the above-referenced matter.
12	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this $\frac{7^{th}}{1}$ day of May, 2009.
13	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
14	Wesley C. Van Cleve
15	Attorney, Legal Division Arizona Corporation Commission
16	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007
17	
18	Original and thirteen (13) copies of the foregoing were filed this 7^{th} day of May, 2009 with:
19	Arizona Corporation Commission
20	Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street
21	Phoenix, Arizona 85007 MAY - 7 2009
22	Copy of the foregoing mailed this 7 th day of May, 2009 to:
23	Craig A. Marks
24	CRAIG A. MARKS, P.C.
25	10645 North Tatum Boulevard, Suite 200-676 Phoenix, Arizona 85028
26	
27	
28	roseann Osorio

SUMMARY OF TESTIMONY OF ALEXANDER IBHADE IGWE, CPA FOR SUNRISE WATER COMPANY DOCKET NO. W-02069A-08-0406

OUTSTANDING ISSUES

RATE BASE

Advances in Aid of Construction - Staff continues to recommend denial of the Company's proposal to reduce test year AIAC by projected refunds. This proposal is inconsistent with sound rate making principles and creates a mismatch. Accordingly, Staff recommends adoption of its recommended rate base.

TEST YEAR OPERATING INCOME

<u>Hydrant Water Sales</u> – Staff continues to recommend adoption of its methodology for calculating normalize test year hydrant sales based on averaging of four years historical data. Staff recommends rejection of the Company's methodology which has the effect of understating test year revenues.

<u>Outside Services</u> – Staff continues to recommend rejection of the Company's proposal to recognize one-half of political lobbying expense as cost of service.

Barn, Workshop, Storage, Field Office and Yard Rental - Staff continues to recommend adoption of its recommendation to deny rental costs related to barn, workshop, storage, field office and yard. These costs are duplicative and unnecessary for provision of service.

<u>Income Taxes</u> – Staff continues to recommend denial of the Company's proposal to recognize income taxes in this proceeding. Sunrise Water Company is a Subchapter S Corporation. According, it is a non-taxable entity under the Internal Revenue Service Codes, thus has no liability for income taxes.

SUMMARY OF TESTIMONY OF JIAN LIU FOR SUNRISE WATER COMPANY DOCKET NO. W-02069A-08-0406

CONCLUSIONS

- A. The Sunrise Water Company ("Sunrise") is located in the Phoenix Active Management Area ("AMA") and is subject to its AMA reporting and conservation requirements. Staff received an ADWR compliance status report in October 2008. ADWR reported that Sunrise is currently in compliance with departmental requirements governing water providers and/or community water systems.
- B. Maricopa County Environmental Services Department ("MCESD") reported that Sunrise's drinking water system (PWS Number 07- 070) is in compliance with regulatory agency requirements and is currently delivering water that meets State and Federal drinking water quality standards as required by the Arizona Administrative Code, Title 18, Chapter 4.
- C. A check with the Utilities Division Compliance Section showed no delinquent compliance items for the Company.
- D. Sunrise has a curtailment plan filed with the Arizona Corporation Commission.
- E. The existing water systems have adequate well production and storage capacity to serve the existing connections.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1. Staff recommends an average annual cost of \$4,819 be adopted for the water testing expense in this proceeding.
- 2. Staff recommends that Sunrise use Staff's depreciation rates by individual National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners categories.
- 3. Staff recommends the acceptance of Staff's proposed meter and service line installation charges along with the adoption of an installation charge of "At Cost" for meter sizes of 3-inch and larger, as shown in Table J-1.